



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/621,315	07/20/2000	Mark Ronald Sikkink	499.081US1	3397
21186	7590	05/04/2004	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			RYMAN, DANIEL J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2665	
DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004 6				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/621,315	SIKKINK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel J. Ryman	2665	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 July 2000.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

 a) All b) Some * c) None of:

 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4,5.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 1 the reset is labeled 24 while in the specification the reset is labeled 26 (see page 4, lines 1-2). The reset should be labeled 26 since clock B is already labeled 24. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: ref. 108 (see page 8, line 6-page 9, line 1 and Fig. 8). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 5, line 20 “first domain 38” should be “first domain 46”; on page 5, lines 30-32 “between the first initially to the 3:2 ratio” should be reworded; on page 6, line 5 “6A8” should be “68”; and on page 8, line 10 “were data” should be “where data”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huon et al (USPN 5,761,735) in view of Duffy (USPN 6,535,527) in further view of Santahuhta (EP 0989484).

6. Regarding claims 1, 6, and 10-12, Huon discloses an interface for data transfer from a first domain clocked at one frequency to a second domain clocked at another frequency, comprising: a first register for receiving data from the first domain when the first register is selected (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19 and col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4); a second register for receiving data from the first domain when the second register is selected (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19 and col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4); and a third register for transferring data from said first register or said second register to the second domain when the second domain is clocked by a clock pulse, other than a hold pulse (col. 4, lines 10-20 and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7), said third register being alternately toggled to receive data from said first register or said second register upon a clock pulse, other than a hold pulse (col. 4, lines 10-20 and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7). Huon does not expressly disclose that the registers are latches; however, it is well known in the art to use latches for registers, as is evidenced by Duffy (col. 3, line 49-col. 4, line 9). In addition, Santahuhta teaches that the difference between a latch and a register is that latches are set to have data written on the rising edge of a clock signal and to have data read on the falling edge of a clock signal where a register records data according to a set input signal (col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the registers comprise latches since using latches to construct registers is very

well known in the art. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use latches for the registers since the registers in Huon read and write data according to a clock signal where latches read and write data according to a clock signal. Further, Huon in view of Duffy does not expressly disclose that the third latch is alternately toggled in response to a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain; however, Huon in view of Duffy does disclose that the third latch is alternately toggled in response to a clock pulse from a processor clock (col. 4, lines 31-32 and col. 7, lines 19-22). Santahuhta teaches, in a system for synchronizing a data stream, alternately toggling the read of two latches in response to a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain (col. 4, lines 33-48 and col. 5, lines 21-39) where the toggling is controlled by a processor with a clock input (Fig. 3, ref 304; Fig. 4, ref. 106 and 107; col. 2, line 54-col. 3, line 13; and col. 4, lines 33-48) since a latch has data read from it on the falling edge of a clock signal (col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the third latch be alternately toggled in response to a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain, other than a hold pulse, since latches have data read from them on the falling edge of a clock signal.

7. Regarding claims 5 and 9, referring to claims 1 and 6, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta discloses that the first and second latches are alternately selected by a select signal, said select signal being generated to select one of said first or second latches when the other of said first or second latches receives data (Huon: col. 4, lines 10-20 and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7).

8. Regarding claims 8 and 18, referring to claims 6 and 15, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta suggests that the hold clock pulse is selected to minimize latency since the hold clock pulse (reset) delays the second domain data read until data is available to read (Santahuhta: col. 6, lines 14-46).

9. Regarding claim 13, referring to claim 10, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta discloses that the first domain is clocked at a slower frequency than the second domain and wherein said third latch will transfer data to the second domain from said first or second latches is loaded when the second domain is clocked by a next clock pulse that is not a non-operate pulse (Santahuhta: col. 6, lines 14-46).

10. Regarding claim 14, referring to claim 13, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta discloses that the third latch is alternately toggled to transfer data from said first or said second latch in response to a negative edge of a clock pulse clocking the second domain unless the clock pulse is a non-operate clock pulse (Santahuhta: Fig. 3, ref 304; Fig. 4, ref. 106 and 107; col. 2, line 54-col. 3, line 13; col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 11; col. 4, lines 33-48; col. 5, lines 21-39; and col. 6, lines 14-46).

11. Regarding claim 15, Huon discloses a method for data transfer between clocked domains, comprising: loading a first master register with data from the first domain in response to a first domain clock pulse (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19 and col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4); transferring the data loaded in the first master register to the second domain through a slave register in response to a second domain clock pulse (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7); toggling the slave register to switch to receive data from a second master register (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4,

line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7); loading the second master register with data from the first domain in response to another first domain clock pulse (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7); transferring the data loaded in the second master register to the second domain through the slave register in response to another second domain clock pulse (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7); toggling the slave register to switch to receive data from the first master register (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7); repeating a cycle of alternately loading the first and second master registers and transferring data to the second domain through the slave register (col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7). Huon does not expressly disclose that the registers are latches; however, it is well known in the art to use latches for registers, as is evidenced by Duffy (col. 3, line 49-col. 4, line 9). In addition, Santahuhta teaches that the difference between a latch and a register is that latches are set to have data written on the rising edge of a clock signal and to have data read on the falling edge of a clock signal where a register records data according to a set input signal (col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the registers comprise latches since using latches to construct registers is very well known in the art. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use latches for the registers since the registers in Huon read and write data according to a clock signal where latches read and write data according to a clock signal. Further, Huon in view of Duffy does not expressly disclose that the slave latch is alternately toggled in response to

a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain; however, Huon in view of Duffy does disclose that the slave latch is alternately toggled in response to a clock pulse from a processor clock (col. 4, lines 31-32 and col. 7, lines 19-22). Santahuhta teaches, in a system for synchronizing a data stream, alternately toggling the read of two latches in response to a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain (col. 4, lines 33-48 and col. 5, lines 21-39) where the toggling is controlled by a processor with a clock input (Fig. 3, ref 304; Fig. 4, ref. 106 and 107; col. 2, line 54-col. 3, line 13; and col. 4, lines 33-48) since a latch has data read from it on the falling edge of a clock signal (col. 3, line 25-col. 4, line 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the third latch be alternately toggled in response to a negative edge of the clock pulse clocking the second domain, other than a non-operate clock pulse, since latches have data read from them on the falling edge of a clock signal. Additionally, Huon in view of Duffy does not expressly disclose repeating a cycle of alternately loading the first and second master registers and transferring data to the second domain through the slave register until a master clear signal is received by the slave and master registers; and entering a non-operate state during each repeated cycle for at least one clock pulse of the faster domain clock, if the domains are clocked at different frequencies. Santahuhta teaches, in a system for synchronizing a data stream, repeating a cycle of alternately loading the first and second master registers and transferring data to the second domain through the slave register until a master clear signal (reset) is received by the slave and master registers; and entering a non-operate state during each repeated cycle for at least one clock pulse of the faster domain clock, if the domains are clocked at different frequencies since the reset is required if not enough data has entered the system when the first domain is slower than the second domain in

order to allow the first domain to catch up to the second domain (col. 6, lines 14-46). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to repeat a cycle of alternately loading the first and second master registers and transferring data to the second domain through the slave register until a master clear signal (reset) is received by the slave and master registers; and enter a non-operate state during each repeated cycle for at least one clock pulse of the faster domain clock, if the domains are clocked at different frequencies since a reset is required if not enough data has entered the system when the first domain is slower than the second domain in order to allow the first domain to catch up to the second domain.

12. Regarding claim 16, referring to claim 15, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta discloses generating a signal in response to loading one of the first or second master latches to cause data to be loaded alternately into the first and second master latches (Huon: col. 1, line 66-col. 2, line 19; col. 3, line 50-col. 4, line 4; col. 4, lines 10-20; and col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 7 and Santahuhta: col. 4, lines 33-48 and col. 5, lines 21-39).

13. Claims 2-4, 7, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huon et al (USPN 5,761,735) in view of Duffy (USPN 6,535,527) in further view of Santahuhta (EP 0989484) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Khandekar et al (USPN 6,049,887).

14. Regarding claims 2, 7, 17, and 19, referring to claims 1, 6, and 15, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta does not expressly disclose that a first clock clocking the first domain and a second clock clocking the second domain are both derived from a single primary clock and generate clock pulses that repeat in a ratioed, systematic pattern framed by a secondary sync pulse also generated by the same primary clock. Khandekar teaches, in a system for

transferring a signal between two synchronous clock domains, having a first clock clocking the first domain and a second clock clocking the second domain be both derived from a single primary clock (Figs. 3 and 5, common oscillator) in order to ensure that both clock domains are synchronized which simplifies circuitry needed to transfer signals between the domains (col. 1, lines 35-67) and generating clock pulses that repeat in a ratioed, systematic pattern framed by a secondary synch pulse also generated by the same primary clock (mask signal) in order to check for skew between the two clock signals (Fig. 5 and col. 7, line 38-col. 8, line 28, esp. col. 7, line 66-col. 8, line 10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transfer a signal between two synchronous clock domains, having a first clock clocking the first domain and a second clock clocking the second domain be both derived from a single primary clock in order to ensure that both clock domains are synchronized which simplifies circuitry needed to transfer signals between the domains and to generate clock pulses that repeat in a ratioed, systematic pattern framed by a secondary synch pulse also generated by the same primary clock in order to check for skew between the two clock signals.

15. Regarding claim 3, referring to claim 2, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta in further view of Khandekar suggests that at least one clock pulse of the first domain clock is a non-operate (NOP) clock pulse in each repeated systematic pattern when no data from the first domain is loaded into either said first latch or said second latch to cause equal average data transfer between the first domain and the second domain (Santahuhta: col. 6, lines 14-46 and Khandekar: abstract; col. 4, lines 12-37; and col. 4, line 47-col. 5, line 8).

16. Regarding claim 4, referring to claim 3, Huon in view of Duffy in further view of Santahuhta in further view of Khandekar suggests that the NOP clock pulse is selected to

minimize latency and prevent the slower clocked domain from being overrun by the faster clocked domain (Santahuhta: col. 6, lines 14-46 and Khandekar: abstract; col. 4, lines 12-37; and col. 4, line 47-col. 5, line 8).

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Manning (USPN 6,000,022) see col. 6, line 52-col. 7, line 38, esp. col. 7, lines 33-37 which pertains initiating a non-operate pulse to minimize latency. Bryant et al (USPN 6,535,946) see entire document which pertains to synchronizing data transfers between clock domains derived from a common clock. Rios (USPN 5,256,912) see entire document which pertains to synchronizing data transfers between clock domains. Taylor (USPN 5,758,131) see entire document which pertains to synchronizing data transfers between clock domains.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Ryman whose telephone number is (703)305-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 7:00-5:00 with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (703)308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-6743.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Daniel J. Ryman
Examiner
Art Unit 2665

DJR

Daniel J. Ryman


HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600